.

.

# Exhibit 7

## FY18 ICC MPR Review Practice Domain Results

Table 1 summarizes MPR Practice Domain mean scores, which ranged from 3.5 to 3.9 with an overall mean score of 3.6.

Table 1: MPR Practice Mean Scores - Overall & by Domain

				Standard
Domain	Min	Max	Mean	Deviation
ICC Practice Overall	2.3	4.7	3.6	.63
Domain1: Family Driven & Youth Guided	2.0	4.9	3.5	.73
Domain 2: Community-Based	2.5	5.0	3.9	.49
Domain 3: Culturally Competent	2.0	5.0	3.6	.69

Table 2 summarizes the mean scores and frequencies for each of the 8 Areas within Domain 1. Overall, youth experienced practice that was Good or better in 53% of instances across the domain.

Area	Mean Frequencies (n) %*								
		Adverse Practice 1	Poor Practice 2	Fair Practice 3	Good Practice 4	Exemplary/ Best Practice 5	Percent Good or above		
Assessment	3.3	(1) 2%	(13) 20%	(25) 39%	(18) 28%	(7) 11%	39%		
Service Planning	3.2	-	(16) 25%	(19) 30%	(25) 39%	(4) 6%	45%		
Service Delivery	3.7	-	(4) 6%	(22) 34%	(26) 41%	(12) 19%	59%		
Youth & Family Engagement	3.8	-	(4) 6%	(17) 27%	(30) 47%	(13) 20%	67%		
Team Formation	3.4	(1) 2%	(10) 16%	(21) 33%	(24) 38%	(8) 13%	50%		
Team Participation	3.6		(7) 11%	(15) 23%	(38) 59%	(4) 6%	66%		
Care Coordination	3.5	(3) 5%	(6) 9%	(20) 31%	(23) 36%	(12) 19%	55%		
Transition	3.2	(2) 3%	(14) 22%	(20) 31%	(23) 36%	(5) 8%	44%		

## Table 2: Family Driven & Youth Guided Mean Scores & Frequencies

 Table 3 summarizes the mean scores and frequencies for the two Areas in the Community-Based practice domain. Across

 Domain 2, youth experienced practice that was Good or better in 78% of instances.

### Table 3: Community-Based Area Mean Scores & Frequencies

Domain/Area	Mean	/lean Frequencies (n) %*								
		Adverse Practice 1	Poor Practice 2	Fair Practice 3	Good Practice 4	Exemplary/ Best Practice 5	Percent Good or above			
Responsiveness	3.7	-	(3) 5%	(17) 27%	(39) 61%	(5) 8%	69%			
Service Accessibility	4.0	<del>.</del>	-	<mark>(8)</mark> 13%	(48) 75%	(8) 13%	88%			

Table 4 summarizes mean score and frequencies for the Areas within the Culturally Competent Domain. Practice for Domain 3 was Good or better in 56% of instances.

#### Table 4: Culturally Competent Area Mean Scores & Frequencies

Domain/Area	Mean						
		Adverse Practice 1	Poor Practice 2	Fair Practice 3	Good Practice 4	Exemplary/ Best Practice 5	Percent Good or above
Cultural Awareness	3.6	.=	(3) 5%	(21) 33%	(36) 56%	(4) 6%	63%
Cultural Sensitivity & Responsiveness	3.5	-	(7) 11%	(25) 39%	(26) 41%	(6) 9%	50%

## FY18 ICC MPR Review Youth & Family Progress Domain Results

Table 5 shows that overall mean scores for the Youth and Family Progress Domain ranged from 1.5 to 4.0, with an overall mean score of 3.2.

Table 5: Youth & Family Pi	rogress Do	main IV	lean Scor	es
Domain	Min	Max	Mean	Standard Deviation
Domain 4: Youth/Family Progress	2.0	4.5	3.2	.65

### Progress Area Mean Scores & Frequencies

Table 6 summarizes the mean scores and frequencies for the youth and family progress Areas in this Domain. For youth in ICC, 27% had Good or better progress, while 39% of families had good or better progress. One of the 64 youth experienced worsening or declining status in ICC.

#### Table 6: Youth & Family Progress Area Mean Scores & Frequencies

Domain/Area	Mean						
		Worsening or Declining Condition 1	Little to No Progress 2	Fair Progress 3	Good Progress 4	Exceptional Progress 5	Percent Good or better
Youth Progress	3.1	(1) 2%	(13) 20%	(33) 52%	(14) 22%	(3) 5%	27%
Family Progress	3.3	-	(7) 11%	(32) 50%	(24) 38%	(1) 2%	39%